



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/926,517 04/03/2003 Alexander Kolbeck KOLB3001 7553 23364 03/11/2004 **EXAMINER BACON & THOMAS, PLLC** KIM, AHSHIK **625 SLATERS LANE** ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER **FOURTH FLOOR** ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314 2876

DATE MAILED: 03/11/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		09/926,517	09/926,517 KOLBECK, ALEXANDER		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Ahshik Kim	2876	AW	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet with	th the correspondence ac		
Period fo	• •				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RIMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION in the may be available under the provisions of 37 Cl SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, opened for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by the preply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON' statute, cause the application to become AB.	eply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timel  (HS from the mailing date of this of  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)[\implies]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	11/14/01 (Preliminary Amendm	ent).		
·					
3)[	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	ion of Claims				
4)🔯	4) Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
•					
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)	Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.				
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.		•	
Applicati	on Papers				
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>14 November 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
,—	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	rrection is required if the drawing(	s) is objected to. See 37 Cl	FR 1.121(d).	
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form P1	ΓΟ-152.	
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		119(a)-(d) or (f).		
	1. Certified copies of the priority docum				
	2. Certified copies of the priority docum	·			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the	•	received in this National	Stage	
* 0	application from the International Bu	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	racaivad		
3	See the attached detailed Office action for a	inst of the certified copies flot f	GUGIVGU.		
Attachmen	t(s)				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)		
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SI	<i>,</i> — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PT0	D-152)	
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6)  Other:		•	

Application/Control Number: 09/926,517 Page 2

Art Unit: 2876

5

10

15

## **DETAILED ACTION**

#### **Priority**

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers

have been placed of record in the file.

# Information Disclosure Statement

2. Some entries in the information disclosure statement filed on July 12, 2002 fail to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(3) because it does not include a concise explanation of the relevance, as it is presently understood by the individual designated in 37 CFR 1.56(c) most knowledgeable about the content of the information, of each patent listed that is not in the English language. It has been placed in the application file, but the crossed items on PTO-1449 have not been considered.

## Preliminary Amendment

3. Receipt is acknowledged of the preliminary amendment filed on November 14, 2001. In the amendment claims 1-19 were amended. Currently, claims 1-19 remain for examination.

# Specification

4. Applicant(s) are reminded to include the following in the next communication with respect to the header(s) within the specification as following:

Application/Control Number: 09/926,517 Page 3

Art Unit: 2876

a) -- Background of the Invention --: The specification should set forth
 Background of the Invention in two parts: --Field of the Invention -- and -- Description of the
 Related Art --.

- b) -- Brief Summary of the Invention --.
- c) -- Brief Description of the Several Views of the Drawing(s) --.
- d) -- Detailed Description of the Invention --.

Appropriate correction is required.

- 5. Abstract is objected and should be re-written according to the following guidelines.
- Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Also see MPEP § 608.01(n). Appropriate correction is required.

25

20

5

10

15

#### Claim Objections

6. Claim 3 is objected to because of the following informalities:

Re claim 3, line 1: delete "either of " after "The method according to". Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 2876

5

15

20

25

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suzuki (US 4,801,787, "Suzuki" hereinafter).

Re claims 1, 8, 11-14, 16, and 18, Suzuki teaches a method for storing and retrieving PIN codes for protected access devices (see abstract; col. 1, lines 7+; col. 1, lines 24+; col. 1, lines 56); wherein the users enter PIN codes, and other access code such as user's birthday or telephone number as additional identification information (col. 2, lines 26+). When the user were to forget the PIN, the user may enter series of identification information, and when they match with stored information (col. 4, lines 3+), PIN may be displayed to the user for a brief period of time (col. 5, lines 26-38). When they don't match, error message is displayed (col. 4, lines 43+).

Suzuki may not explicitly suggest storing and retrieving "one unique feature of at least one protected-access device."

However, Suzuki lists various personal data and other information, which can be stored and retrieved for verification purpose (col. 2, lines 25-33), which includes an account number for the card. As the phone number and birthday were used as an example, it would be obvious to an ordinary skill in the art to use an account number – a unique feature for the IC card as an authenticating means. In fact, any information saved in the memory area 26-26d can be used as a key to retrieve PIN number.

Art Unit: 2876

5

10

15

20

Re claim 2, personal data including PIN are permanent until user changes/updates information as needed (col. 1, line 67 - col. 2, line 3).

Re claims 3-5, according to Merriam-Webster's Collegiate Dictionary (10<sup>th</sup> edition), encode is defined as "1:to convert (as information) from one system of communication to another". When a user enters a PIN, for example, "1234" via keyboard 12 and display 13, the entered PIN code would be converted to ASCII, EBCDIC, or other machine-dependent coding format and then stored in the memory 26a-26e. When it is recalled for verification or display, it would be converted to be displayed to the user. Although not explicitly detailed, entered PIN would be stored in RAM or data register temporarily before they are written to the memory area.

Re claim 6, PIN and other identification numbers are linked in a way that one can be used as a key for the other.

Re claims 7 and 17, the personal information is stored in the memory area 26a-26d within the IC card (col. 2, lines 26+).

Re claim 8, account number can be considered a particular serial number for the IC card.

Re claims 9, 10, and 19, in the embodiment of credit card, the card number embossed on the card face (and also encoded in magnetic strip on the back) is a unique feature for the card.

When card is accessed via a card reader, card account information is automatically read (col. 1, lines 8+).

Re claim 15, IC card 11 functions as a card and a reader (see figure 1) reading input values entered by a user.

Application/Control Number: 09/926,517

Art Unit: 2876

#### Conclusion

Page 6

I. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: Campisano (US 6,227,447); Houvener et al. (US 6,070,141); Kohut (US 5,742,035); Hackett et al. (US 6,182,894) disclose transaction cards and PIN or other codes associated with the card. Applicant is respectfully suggested to carefully review these references.

II. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to *Ahshik Kim* whose telephone number is (571)272-2393. The examiner can normally be reached between the hours of 6:00AM to 3:00PM Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Lee, can be reached on (571)272-2398. The fax number directly to the Examiner is (571)273-2393. The fax phone number for this Group is (703)872-9306.

Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [ahshik.kim@uspto.gov].

All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

25

30

10

15

20

Ahshik Kim Patent Examiner Art Unit 2876

February 26, 2004